

## ISLAMIAH WOMEN'S ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE <br> Accredited by the NAAC with 'B’ Grade <br> Vaniyambadi - Tamil Nadu

CRITERIA-II
STUDENTS SATISFACTION SURVEY 2021-2022
I.TEACHING - LEARNING AND VALUATION

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIV <br> E PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 to $100 \%$ | 544 | 70.6 | 71 | 71 |
| 80 to $90 \%$ | 103 | 13.4 | 13 | 84 |
| 70 to $80 \%$ | 81 | 10.5 | 10 | 94 |
| 60 to $70 \%$ | 29 | 3.8 | 4 | 98 |
| 50 to $60 \%$ | 14 | 1.8 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



The above table shows that, $71 \%$ of students represented up to $100 \%$ syllabus covered by teachers in the class, $13 \%$ of students said between 80 to $90 \%$ of syllabus covered, $10 \% \%$ of students said 70 to 80 syllabus covered, $4 \%$ of students said upto $70 \%$ of syllabus covered, and $2 \%$ of students said between 50 to $60 \%$ of syllabus covered by faculty members.

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thoroughly | 520 | 67.4 | 67 | 67 |
| Good | 120 | 15.6 | 16 | 83 |
| Poorly | 50 | 6.5 | 6 | 89 |
| Indifferently | 45 | 5.8 | 6 | 95 |
| Won't teach at <br> all | 36 | 4.7 | 5 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



As shown from the above table, $67 \%$ of students said teachers prepare thoroughly for the class, $16 \%$ of students said teachers prepare good for the class, only $6 \%, 6 \%$ and $5 \%$ of student opinioned that teachers prepare poorly, indifferently and won't teach at all respectively in the class.

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Always effective | 550 | 71.3 | 71 | 71 |
| Sometimes effective | 136 | 17.6 | 18 | 89 |
| Just satisfactorily | 50 | 6.5 | 6 | 95 |
| Generally ineffective | 25 | 3.2 | 3 | 98 |
| Very poor <br> communication | 10 | 2.0 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



From the above table, $71 \%$ of students said teachers always communicates effectively, $18 \%$ of students said sometimes effective, $6 \%$ of students said teachers communicates just satisfactorily, only $3 \%$ of students said teachers communication generally ineffective and $2 \%$ of students said teacher very poor in their communication

4. The Teacher's Approach to teaching can Best be Described As:

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 502 | 65.1 | 65 | 65 |
| very good | 130 | 16.9 | 17 | 82 |
| good | 100 | 13.0 | 13 | 95 |
| Fair | 33 | 4.3 | 4 | 99 |
| Poor | 6 | 0.8 | 1 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Teachers apporach to teaching can be described
as


As shown from the above table, $65 \%$ of students said teachers approach to teaching as excellent, $17 \%$ of students said teachers approach to teaching can be described very good, $13 \%$ of Students said teachers approach to teaching as good, only $4 \%$ and $1 \%$ of students said teachers approach to teachings as fair and poor.

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Always Fair | 510 | 66.1 | 66 | 66 |
| Usually fair | 144 | 18.7 | 19 | 85 |
| Sometimes unfair | 55 | 7.1 | 7 | 92 |
| Usually unfair | 50 | 6.5 | 6 | 98 |
| Unfair | 12 | 1.6 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

# Internal Evaluation Process 



From the above figure, $66 \%$ of students said internal evaluation process as always fair, $19 \%$ of students represented teachers are usually fair in their internal evaluation process , $7 \%$ of students said teachers are internal evaluation process are sometimes unfair, only $6 \%$ and $2 \%$ of students said teacher are are usually unfair and unfair respectively.
6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 450 | 58.4 | 58 | 58 |
| Usually | 145 | 18.8 | 19 | 77 |
| Occasionally/ <br> Sometimes | 103 | 13.4 | 13 | 90 |
| Rarely | 49 | 6.4 | 6 | 96 |
| Never | 24 | 4.0 | 4 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



As shown from the above table, $58 \%$ of students said that their assignment discussed every time in the class, $19 \%$ of students told their assignment performance as usually, $13 \%$ of students discussed their assignment performance as sometime, only $6 \%$ and $4 \%$ opinioned rarely \& never discussed about their assignment performance in class .
7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regularly | 430 | 55.8 | 56 | 56 |
| Often | 190 | 24.6 | 25 | 81 |
| Sometimes | 51 | 6.6 | 7 | 88 |
| Rarely | 70 | 9.1 | 9 | 97 |
| Never | 30 | 3.0 | 3 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



The above table shows that, $56 \%$ of students visited field trip regularly, $25 \%$ of students often visit the field trip, $7 \%$ of students are sometimes visited field trip, $9 \%$ of the students are rarely visited, $3 \%$ of students are never visited the field visit.
8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Significantly | 450 | 58.4 | 58 | 58 |
| Very well | 159 | 20.6 | 21 | 79 |
| Moderately | 80 | 10.4 | 10 | 89 |
| Marginally | 59 | 7.7 | 8 | 97 |
| Not at all | 23 | 3.0 | 3 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Teaching and Mentoring Process


The above table tells us that, $58 \%$ of students said mentoring process is significantly facilitates students in cognitive social and emotional growth, $21 \%$ of students opinioned mentoring process is very well, $10 \%$ of students said mentoring process is moderate, $8 \%$ of students told mentoring process is marginally and $3 \%$ of students said not at all mentoring process enhances their cognitive, social and emotional growth.

9. The Institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 480 | 62.3 | 62 | 62 |
| Agree | 120 | 15.6 | 16 | 78 |
| Neutral | 100 | 13.0 | 13 | 91 |
| Disagree | 48 | 6.2 | 6 | 97 |
| Strongly disagree | 23 | 3.0 | 3 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



The above table shows that, $62 \%$ of students are strongly agree with the institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow, $16 \%$ of students agree with multiple opportunities to learn \& grow, $13 \%$ of students are neutral learn and only $6 \%$ of students are disagree with multiple opportunities to learn and grow, $3 \%$ of the students are strongly disagree with institution multiple opportunities to learn and grow.
10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 550 | 71.3 | 71 | 71 |
| Usually | 95 | 12.3 | 12 | 83 |
| Occasionally/ <br> Sometimes | 74 | 9.6 | 10 | 93 |
| Rarely | 40 | 5.2 | 5 | 98 |
| Never | 12 | 1.6 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



As shown from the above table, $71 \%$ of students said teachers every time inform course outcome and programme outcome, $12 \%$ of students said teachers usually informs about expected competencies, $10 \%$ of students said teachers sometime inform course outcome and programme outcome, $5 \%$ of students said teachers rarely inform course outcome and programme outcome and only $2 \%$ of students said teachers never inform course outcome and programme outcome.

11. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully | 510 | 66.1 | 66 | 66 |
| Reasonably | 110 | 14.3 | 14 | 80 |
| Partially | 60 | 7.8 | 8 | 88 |
| Slightly | 51 | 6.6 | 7 | 95 |
| Unable to | 40 | 5.2 | 5 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



From the above table it is clear that, $66 \%$ of students said teachers fully able to identify the student weakness and help to overcome them, $14 \%$ of students said teachers are reasonably identify the student weakness, $8 \%$ of students said teachers are partially identify the weakness of students, $7 \%$ of students said teachers are slightly identify the students weakness and $5 \%$ of students said teacher unable to identify the students weakness.
12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 510 | 66.1 | 66 | 66 |
| Usually | 165 | 21.4 | 21 | 87 |
| Occasionally/Sometimes | 52 | 6.7 | 7 | 94 |
| Rarely | 33 | 4.3 | 4 | 98 |
| Never | 11 | 2.0 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



The above figure tells us that, $66 \%$ of students said teachers illustrates the concept through examples, $21 \%$ of students said teachers are usually illustrate the concept through examples, $7 \%$ of students said teachers are sometimes illustrate the concept through examples, only $4 \%$ of students said teachers rarely gave the examples and $2 \%$ of students said teachers never give the examples.

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully | 496 | 64.3 | 64 | 64 |
| Reasonably | 105 | 13.6 | 14 | 78 |
| Partially | 90 | 11.7 | 12 | 90 |
| Slightly | 65 | 8.4 | 8 | 98 |
| Unable to | 15 | 1.9 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



As shown from the above table $64 \%$ of Students said teachers fully identify their strengths and encourages them by providing right level of challenges, $14 \%$ of students said teachers reasonably identify their strengths and encourage them, $12 \%$ of students said teachers partially identify their strengths, $8 \%$ of students said teachers slightly indentify their strengths and $2 \%$ of teachers unable to identify their strengths.
14. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 515 | 66.8 | 67 | 67 |
| Agree | 140 | 18.2 | 18 | 85 |
| Neutral | 60 | 7.8 | 8 | 93 |
| Disagree | 40 | 5.2 | 5 | 98 |
| Strongly disagree | 16 | 2.1 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

# The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. 



The above table shows that, $67 \%$ of students strongly agree that institution makes effort to engage them in the monitoring review and continuous quality improvement of teaching learning process, $18 \%$ of students agree with their institution and makes effort, $8 \%$ of students said Neutral, $5 \%$ of students disagree with their institution effort in monitoring and continuous quality improvement, and $2 \%$ of students said strongly disagree with their institution in making efforts regarding continuous quality improvement of teaching learning process.

15. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To a great extent | 540 | 70.0 | 70 | 70 |
| Moderate | 112 | 14.0 | 14 | 84 |
| Some what | 59 | 7.7 | 8 | 92 |
| Very little | 45 | 5.8 | 6 | 98 |
| Not at all | 15 | 1.9 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

# The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning 

 experiences.

Not at all

From The above table shows that $70 \%$ of students represented that teachers use student centric methods, $14 \%$ of students said teachers use Moderate with student centric methods, $8 \%$ of students said teachers somewhat uses student centric methods, only $6 \%$ and $2 \%$ of students said teachers very little and not at all used any student centric methods in teaching learning process.

16. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 520 | 67.4 | 67 | 67 |
| Agree | 150 | 19.5 | 20 | 87 |
| Neutral | 50 | 6.5 | 7 | 94 |
| Disagree | 40 | 5.2 | 5 | 99 |
| Strongly disagree | 11 | 1.4 | 1 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



The above table shows that $67 \%$ of students strongly agree with teacher encourages them to participate in extracurricular activities, $20 \%$ of students agree with teacher encouragement to participate in extracurricular activities, $8 \%$ of students neutral, only $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ of students opinioned that they disagree with teachers encouragement to participate in extracurricular activities.
17. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To a great extent | 521 | 67.6 | 68 | 68 |
| Moderate | 125 | 16.2 | 16 | 84 |
| Some what | 70 | 9.1 | 9 | 93 |
| Very little | 45 | 5.8 | 6 | 99 |
| Not at all | 10 | 1.3 | 1 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

## Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to

 inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work. extent

As shown from the above table $68 \%$ of students recognized teachers efforts in inculcating soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make them ready for the world of works, $16 \%$ of students opinioned teachers moderate, $9 \%$ of students said teachers somewhat to Inculcate employability skills, only $6 \%$ and $1 \%$ of students said teachers very little and not at all making effort in inculcate life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

18. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENC <br> $\mathbf{Y}$ | PERCEN <br> $\mathbf{T}$ | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIV <br> E PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Above $90 \%$ | 550 | 71.3 | 71 | 71 |
| $70-89 \%$ | 95 | 12.3 | 12 | 83 |
| $50-69 \%$ | 60 | 7.8 | 8 | 91 |
| $30-49 \%$ | 46 | 6.0 | 6 | 97 |
| Below $29 \%$ | 20 | 2.6 | 3 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



It's clear from the above table, $71 \%$ of students said that teachers uses above $90 \%$ ICT tools while teaching, $12 \%$ of students opinioned that teachers uses ICT tools while teaching between $89-70,8 \%$ of Students said teachers uses ICT tools between $69-50$, only $6 \%$ and $3 \%$ of Students said that teachers uses below $49 \%$ and $29 \%$ LCD projector while teaching in the classroom.
19. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 528 | 68.5 | 68 | 68 |
| Agree | 160 | 20.8 | 21 | 89 |
| Neutral | 40 | 5.2 | 5 | 94 |
| Disagree | 30 | 3.9 | 4 | 98 |
| Strongly disagree | 13 | 1.7 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

# The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. 



As shown from the above table, $68 \%$ of students strongly agree with teaching learning process in their institute is very good, $21 \%$ of students agree with teaching process in their institute is very good, $5 \%$ of students neutral institute is very good, only $4 \%$ and $2 \%$ of students disagree and strongly disagree with teaching learning process of the institution.
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20. What is the time taken by the institution for declaration of CIA examination results?

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Within 3 days | 513 | 66.5 | 66 | 66 |
| 3-5 days | 113 | 14.7 | 15 | 81 |
| 5-7 days | 90 | 11.7 | 12 | 93 |
| 7-9 days | 35 | 4.5 | 4 | 97 |
| Above 10 days | 20 | 2.6 | 3 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



As shown from the above table $66 \%$ of students said the time taken by institution for the declaration of CIA Examination result within 3days, 15\% of students said declared that CIA examination result received by them within 3-5days, $12 \%$ of students said that result got by 5 7 days, $4 \% \& 3 \%$ of students said time taken by institution to declare 9 days and above 10 days.

II. STUDENT SATISFACTION TOWARDS PARTICIPATION,INTERACTION AND CULTURE OF THE
INSTITUTION

1. Class (Listening/Questioning/involvement)

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | 717 | 93.0 | 93 | 93 |
| Sometimes | 25 | 3.2 | 3 | 96 |
| Rarely | 21 | 2.7 | 3 | 99 |
| Never | 8 | 1.0 | 1 | 100 |
| Not Applicable | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

> Class (Listening/Questioning/involvement)

As shown from the above table, $93 \%$ of students said highly satisfied towards participation interaction and cultural of the institution, $3 \%$ of students said sometimes participated in cultural, $3 \%$ of students said rarely participated and $1 \%$ of students said never participated in any cultural activities.

## 2. Staff in Library

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highly Satisfied | 470 | 61.0 | 61 | 61 |
| Satisfied | 190 | 24.6 | 25 | 86 |
| Not Satisfied | 80 | 10.4 | 10 | 96 |
| Not at all Satisfied | 21 | 2.7 | 3 | 99 |
| Never Interacted | 10 | 1.3 | 1 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

## Staff in Library



As shown from the above table, $61 \%$ of students said that highly satisfied with library staffs, $25 \%$ of students said satisfied with library staff , $10 \%$ of students said not satisfied with library staff, $3 \%$ of students said not at all satisfied with library staff, and $1 \%$ of student said that library staff are never interacted with them.

## 3. Staff in the Office of Examination Cell

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highly Satisfied | 470 | 61.0 | 61 | 61 |
| Satisfied | 190 | 24.6 | 25 | 86 |
| Not Satisfied | 80 | 10.4 | 10 | 96 |
| Not at all Satisfied | 20 | 2.6 | 3 | 99 |
| Never Interacted | 11 | 1.4 | 1 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Staff in the Office of Examination Cell


As shown from the above table $61 \%$ of students said staff highly satisfied with examination cell, $25 \%$ of students said satisfied with the staff in the examination cell, $10 \%$ of students said not satisfied staff with the office examination cell, $3 \%$ students said not at all satisfied with staff in office examination cell and $1 \%$ of students said staff are never interacted with staff in the office of examination cell.

4.Staff in Canteen

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highly Satisfied | 450 | 58.4 | 58 | 58 |
| Satisfied | 200 | 25.9 | 26 | 84 |
| Not Satisfied | 62 | 8.0 | 8 | 92 |
| Not at all Satisfied | 35 | 4.5 | 5 | 97 |
| Never Interacted | 24 | 3.1 | 3 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



As shown from the above table $58 \%$ of students said highly satisfied staff with canteen, $26 \%$ of students said satisfied with canteen staff, $8 \%$ of students said not satisfied with canteen staff, $5 \%$ of students said not at all satisfied with canteen staff and $3 \%$ of students never interacted with staff in canteen.

Principal

## 5. Staff in Computer Labs/Other Labs

| CATEGORY | FREQUENC <br> $\mathbf{Y}$ | PERCEN <br> $\mathbf{T}$ | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIV <br> E PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highly Satisfied | 470 | 61.0 | 61 | 61 |
| Satisfied | 198 | 25.7 | 25 | 86 |
| Not Satisfied | 60 | 7.8 | 8 | 94 |
| Not at all Satisfied | 29 | 3.8 | 4 | 98 |
| Never Interacted | 14 | 1.8 | 2 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |



As shown from the above table $61 \%$ of students said highly satisfied with staff of computer labs, $25 \%$ of students said satisfied with staff in computer/other labs, $8 \%$ of students said not satisfied with staff in computer/other labs, $4 \%$ students said not at all satisfied with staff in computer/other labs and $2 \%$ of students said never interacted with staff in computer/other labs.

## IV. CAMPUS CULTURE

1. Grade the safety aspects of the college?

| CATEGORY | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | VALID <br> PERCENTAGE | CUMULATIVE <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| very good | 400 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| good | 268 | 35 | 35 | 87 |
| Fair | 72 | 9 | 9 | 96 |
| Poor | 23 | 3 | 3 | 99 |
| Very Poor | 8 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

## Grade the safety aspects of the college



The above table shows us that, almost $87 \%$ of students said very good and good on safety aspect of the college, $9 \%$ of students said fair on safety aspect of the college, $3 \%$ of students said poor safety of the college and $1 \%$ of students said very poor grade on the safety of the college.

